Compressed Relaying for Two-Way Relay Networks with Correlated Sources

Qiang Huo, Kun Yang, Lingyang Song, Yonghui Li, and Bingli Jiao

arXiv:1410.7041v1 [cs.IT] 26 Oct 2014

Abstract

In this letter, a compressed relaying scheme via Huffman and physical-layer network coding (HPNC) is proposed for two-way relay networks with correlated sources (TWRN-CS). In the HPNC scheme, both sources first transmit the correlated raw source messages to the relay simultaneously. The relay performs physical-layer network coding (PNC) on the received symbols, compresses the PNC-coded symbols using Huffman coding, and broadcasts the compressed symbols to both source nodes. Then, each source decodes the other source's messages by using its own messages as side information. Compression rate and block error rate (BLER) of the proposed scheme are analyzed. Simulation results demonstrate that the HPNC scheme can effectively improve the network throughput, and meanwhile, achieve the superior BLER performance compared with the conventional non-compressed relaying scheme in TWRN-CS.

Index Terms

Compression, correlated bidirectional relay networks, Huffman coding, physical-layer network coding.

I. Introduction

Two-way relay communication has recently drawn much interest from both academia and industry due to its potential ability to significantly improve the spectral efficiency of wireless

Q. Huo is with the Physical Layer & RRM IC Algorithm Department, Shanghai Research Center, Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Shanghai 201206, China (e-mail: qianghuoee@gmail.com).

K. Yang, L. Song, and B. Jiao are with the School of Electronics Engineering and Computer Science, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China (e-mail: {kun.yang, lingyang.song, jiaobl}@pku.edu.cn).

Y. Li is with the School of Electrical and Information Engineering, the University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia (e-mail: yonghui.li@sydney.edu.au).

communication systems [1]. Particularly, a simple three-node two-way relay network (TWRN) has been widely studied in the literature and various relaying protocols have been proposed to achieve network throughput improvement [2]–[4].

However, most existing relaying protocols for TWRNs have been designed for the networks where the information messages exchanged between two source nodes are independent only. Recently, some works have reported that measurements between different nodes in the network may exhibit strong correlations in some scenarios, e.g., wireless sensor networks [5]. In such scenarios, an efficient relaying protocol, which can exploit the inherent correlation characteristic, can further improve the system spectral efficiency and performance. This will be highly desirable when the source nodes want to exchange their correlated information messages with each other via the intermediate relaying nodes. In [6], the authors studied the multi-way relay network with correlated sources and orthogonal uplink channels. The paper mainly focused on the source-channel separation problems from an information theoretical point of view. In this letter, we consider the two-way relay networks with correlated sources (TWRN-CS) and employ physical-layer network coding (PNC) and practical Huffman coding [7] to compress the correlated data in TWRN.

The main contributions and novelties of this letter are two-fold: 1) A compressed relaying scheme via Huffman and physical-layer network coding (HPNC) is proposed for TWRN-CS; 2) Compression rate is analyzed and a closed-form block error rate (BLER) expression is derived for the HPNC scheme. It is shown that the HPNC scheme achieves considerable improvements in both network throughput and BLER performance over the conventional non-compressed relaying scheme in TWRN-CS.

Notation: Boldface lower-case letters denote vectors. For a random variable X, $\Pr\{\cdot\}$ denotes its probability, and $\mathbb{E}\{\cdot\}$ represents its expectation. $\mathbf{I}_m$ is the $m \times m$ identity matrix. For a random vector variable $\mathbf{n}$, $\mathbf{n} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \mathbf{\Omega})$ denotes a circular symmetric complex Gaussian variable with zero mean and covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Omega}$. $\bigoplus$ represents XOR operation and $Q(x)$ is the Q-function, given by, $Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2}\, \mathrm{d}t$.



Fig. 1. The block diagram of the two-hop TWRN-CS with the HPNC scheme.

II. Compressed Relaying Scheme via Huffman and Physical-Layer Network Coding

A general two-hop TWRN-CS with three nodes is considered in this study, as shown in Fig. 1, where two correlated source nodes, denoted by T_1 and T_2, want to exchange correlated messages with each other via a relay node, denoted by R. All nodes are equipped with one antenna and operate in a half-duplex mode. We assume that all the links between the sources and relay node are additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channels and the transmit powers of the three nodes are equal, denoted by P_t.

A. Source Correlation Model

It is assumed that the information bits at both source nodes are divided equally into blocks and each block consists of n bits. Let $\mathbf{a}_{1i} = [a_{1i}(1), \cdots, a_{1i}(n)]$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2i} = [a_{2i}(1), \cdots, a_{2i}(n)]$ be the ith block of message transmitted by T_1 and T_2, where $\mathbf{a}_{1i}$, $\mathbf{a}_{2i} \in \{0,1\}^{1\times n}$. The binary phase-shift keying (BPSK) modulation is considered for simplicity and the modulated symbols of $\mathbf{a}_{1i}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2i}$ are denoted by $\mathbf{x}_{1i} = [x_{1i}(1), \cdots, x_{1i}(n)]$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2i} = [x_{2i}(1), \cdots, x_{2i}(n)]$, where $\mathbf{x}_{1i}$, $\mathbf{x}_{2i} \in \{+1,-1\}^{1\times n}$. The *correlation factor* between two source nodes is defined as $r = \mathbb{E}\{x_{1i}(j)x_{2i}(j)\}$, $\forall i$ and $1 \le j \le n$.

B. Compressed Relaying via Huffman and Physical-Layer Network Coding

1) Encoding at the Relay: In the proposed HPNC scheme, both source nodes transmit the BPSK modulated symbols of $\mathbf{a}_{1i}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2i}$ to the relay, simultaneously, during the first time slot (TS). Let $\mathbf{y}_{Ri}$ be the corresponding received signals at the relay and we have [8]

$$\mathbf{y}_{Ri} = \sqrt{P_t}\mathbf{x}_{1i} + \sqrt{P_t}\mathbf{x}_{2i} + \mathbf{n}_{Ri}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{n}_{Ri}$ is the noise vectors following $\mathcal{CN}(0, N_0\mathbf{I}_n)$. Let $\gamma = \frac{P_t}{N_0}$ denote the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

The relay first performs PNC on the received signals. The resulting PNC-coded message is represented by

$$\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}} = \mathbf{PNC}(\mathbf{y}_{Ri}), \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}$ is the estimation of $\mathbf{a}_{1i} \bigoplus \mathbf{a}_{2i}$, and $\mathbf{PNC}(\cdot)$ is the PNC mapping function in [4]. Then the relay performs Huffman coding on the PNC-coded message $\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}$. Let $\mathbf{Huffman}$: $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathbf{invHuffman}$: $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ denote the standard Huffman encoding and decoding algorithm [7], where $\mathcal{A} = \{0,1\}^{1\times n}$ denotes the set of $\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ denotes the set of the codewords of the corresponding Huffman code. The compressed messages, denoted as $\mathbf{c}_{Ri}$, are given by

$$\mathbf{c}_{Ri} = \mathbf{Huffman}(\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}). \tag{3}$$

It should be mentioned that the block length of the compressed messages $\mathbf{c}_{Ri}$, denoted by n_i, is dependent on both the Huffman code design and the PNC-coded message $\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}$.

During the second TS, $\mathbf{c}_{Ri}$ is modulated into $\mathbf{x}_{Ri}$ and then sent to both T_1 and T_2. The corresponding received signal vectors at T_1 and T_2, denoted by $\mathbf{y}_{Ri,1}$ and $\mathbf{y}_{Ri,2}$, can be written as

$$\mathbf{y}_{Ri,1} = \sqrt{P_t}\mathbf{x}_{Ri} + \mathbf{n}_{Ri,1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y}_{Ri,2} = \sqrt{P_t}\mathbf{x}_{Ri} + \mathbf{n}_{Ri,2}, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{n}_{Ri,1} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0\mathbf{I}_{n_i})$ and $\mathbf{n}_{Ri,2} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, N_0\mathbf{I}_{n_i})$ are the noise vectors experienced at T_1 and T_2, respectively.

2) Decoding at the Destination Nodes: In the following, the decoding algorithm and the performance analysis for the signal flow from T_1 to T_2 are presented. Due to the symmetry, the discussions for the signal flow from T_2 to T_1 are omitted for brevity. Upon receiving the compressed messages from the relay, T_2 first calculates the hard estimation of $\mathbf{c}_{Ri}$, denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{Ri,2}$. Then T_2 can use its own message, i.e., $\mathbf{a}_{2i}$, as side information to recover the desired message bits sent from T_1, denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{1i,2}$.

Let us first consider an ideal case in which all nodes can decode the messages correctly. In the ideal case, we have $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{Ri,2} = \mathbf{Huffman}(\mathbf{a}_{1i} \bigoplus \mathbf{a}_{2i})$. T_2 can perfectly construct $\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}$ by

decoding $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{Ri,2}$, i.e., $\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}} = \mathbf{a}_{1i} \bigoplus \mathbf{a}_{2i} = \mathbf{invHuffman}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{Ri,2})$. Finally, the desired message bits $\mathbf{a}_{1i}$ can be perfectly recovered at T_2 as $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{1i,2} = \mathbf{a}_{2i} \bigoplus (\mathbf{a}_{1i} \bigoplus \mathbf{a}_{2i}) = \mathbf{a}_{2i} \bigoplus \mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}} = \mathbf{a}_{2i} \bigoplus \mathbf{invHuffman}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{Ri,2})$. The decoding process can be similarly extended to the non-ideal case by taking into account decoding errors at the relay and destination. Similar to the ideal case, T_2 first constructs the estimated symbol vector of $\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}$, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}$, by decoding the compressed message received from the relay node, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{Ri,2}$. Then the estimated information bits sent from T_1 are constructed as

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{1i,2} = \mathbf{a}_{2i} \bigoplus \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{Ri,\text{PNC}} = \mathbf{a}_{2i} \bigoplus \mathbf{invHuffman}(\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{Ri,2}). \tag{5}$$

C. Huffman Code Design

In the following, the details on the design of Huffman code for the proposed HPNC scheme are presented. Since the Huffman coding algorithm is uniquely determined by the distribution of its input source symbols, we need to derive the distribution of the PNC-coded message, i.e., $\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}$. In the HPNC scheme, we use the distribution of $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{a}_{1i} \bigoplus \mathbf{a}_{2i}$ as an approximated distribution of $\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}$ to construct the Huffman codes. It should be mentioned that the difference between the distribution of $\mathbf{b}_i$ and $\mathbf{a}_{Ri,\text{PNC}}$ is negligible especially at medium and high SNR values. For simplicity, we define the *equal factor* as $\rho = \Pr\{a_{1i}(j) = a_{2i}(j)\} = \Pr\{x_{1i}(j) = x_{2i}(j)\}$, $\forall i$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. According to the source correlation model in Subsection II-A, it is easy to derive the relationship between the equal factor and the correlation factor as $\rho = (r+1)/2$. The probability of $\mathbf{b}_i$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{\mathbf{b}_i\} &= \rho^{m(\mathbf{b}_i)}(1-\rho)^{n-m(\mathbf{b}_i)} \\ &= 2^{-n}(1+r)^{m(\mathbf{b}_i)}(1-r)^{n-m(\mathbf{b}_i)}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $m(\mathbf{b}_i)$ denotes the number of 0 in $\mathbf{b}_i$, i.e., the number of j, $1 \leq j \leq n$, that satisfies $a_{1i}(j) = a_{2i}(j)$. Based on the derived approximated distribution, i.e., Eq. (6), we can perform Huffman encoding and decoding algorithm using the standard process in [7].

III. Performance Analysis

A. Compression Rate

As indicated in Sec. II-C, the Huffman code design is dependent on both the block length n and the correlation factor r. Let $\mathcal{C}(n,r)$ denote the set of the Huffman codeword, and $l(\mathbf{b}_i)$ denote

the codeword length of $\mathbf{b}_i$ after Huffman encoding. Let $\mathcal{LC}(n,r)$ be the range of $l(\mathbf{b}_i)$ and thus we have $l(\mathbf{b}_i) \in \mathcal{LC}(n,r), \forall \mathbf{b}_i \in \{0,1\}^{1\times n}$. The codeword length distribution of the ith block messages, denoted by $\Pr\{n_i = k\}$, can be expressed as $\Pr\{n_i = k\} = \sum_{\mathbf{b}_i: l(\mathbf{b}_i)=k} \Pr\{\mathbf{b}_i\} = \sum_{\mathbf{b}_i: l(\mathbf{b}_i)=k} 2^{-n}(1+r)^{m(\mathbf{b}_i)}(1-r)^{n-m(\mathbf{b}_i)}$, where $k \in \mathcal{LC}(n,r)$. Thus, the average codeword length is given by $\bar{n}_i = \mathbb{E}\{n_i\} = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{LC}(n,r)} k \cdot \Pr\{n_i = k\}$.

Since the raw source messages are transmitted without compression at both sources during the first TS, the overall compression rate of the HPNC scheme is given by

$$C_{\text{HPNC}} = \frac{n + \bar{n}_i}{2n} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\bar{n}_i}{2n}. \tag{7}$$

Note that the theoretical maximum compression rate at the relay node, i.e., entropy rate, can be readily derived as $C_{\text{Theo,R}} = H[\mathbf{a}_{1i}|\mathbf{a}_{2i}]/n = H[\frac{1+r}{2}] = -\frac{1+r}{2}\log(\frac{1+r}{2}) - (\frac{1-r}{2})\log(\frac{1-r}{2})$ [9]. According to the property of Huffman coding, we have $nH[\frac{1+r}{2}] \leq \bar{n}_i < nH[\frac{1+r}{2}] + 1$ [9]. Thus we can obtain $C_{\text{Theo}} \leq C_{\text{HPNC}} < C_{\text{Theo}} + 1/2n$, where $C_{\text{Theo}} = 1/2 + H[\frac{1+r}{2}]/2$. It implies that when the number of symbols within one block is large, the compression rate of the HPNC scheme C_{HPNC} approaches the theoretical maximum compression rate C_{Theo} and the gap is no more than $1/2n$.

B. Block Error Rate

The decision error at the first TS can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\text{PNC}}(\gamma,\rho) = (1-\rho)\int_{\tau_1}^{\infty}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi N_0}}e^{-\frac{y^2}{N_0}}dy + (1-\rho)\int_{-\infty}^{-\tau_2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi N_0}}e^{-\frac{y^2}{N_0}}dy \\ + \frac{\rho}{2}\int_{-\tau_2}^{\tau_1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi N_0}}e^{-\frac{(y-2\sqrt{P_t})^2}{N_0}}dy + \frac{\rho}{2}\int_{-\tau_2}^{\tau_1}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi N_0}}e^{-\frac{(y+2\sqrt{P_t})^2}{N_0}}dy, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where τ_1 and $-\tau_2$ are the decision threshold values. According to the maximum posterior probability criterion and the symmetrical property, we can obtain the optimal decision threshold as $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau = \sqrt{P_t} + \frac{\sqrt{N_0}}{4\sqrt{\gamma}}\ln\frac{1-\rho}{\rho} + \frac{\sqrt{N_0}}{4\sqrt{\gamma}}\ln\left(1+\sqrt{1-(\frac{\rho}{1-\rho})^2 e^{-8\gamma}}\right)$ when $\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}e^{4\gamma} > 1$, otherwise we have $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau = 0$. The optimal decision rule at the relay is described as follows: when the received signal is less than $-\tau$, we declare $x_{1i}(j) + x_{2i}(j)$ to be -2; when the received signal is larger than τ, we declare $x_{1i}(j) + x_{2i}(j)$ to be 2; otherwise, it is set to

be 0. According to Eq. (8), the error probability of the PNC mapping with the optimal decision threshold over single symbol can be expressed as

$$P_{\text{PNC}}(\gamma,\rho) = 2(1-\rho)Q\left(\bar{\tau}\right) + \rho Q\left(2\sqrt{2\gamma} - \bar{\tau}\right) - \rho Q\left(2\sqrt{2\gamma} + \bar{\tau}\right), \tag{9}$$

where $\bar{\tau} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_0}}\tau$. Therefore, the error probability of the PNC mapping during the first TS can be expressed as

$$P_R(\gamma,\rho) = 1 - \left(1 - P_{\text{PNC}}(\gamma,\rho)\right)^n. \tag{10}$$

Let $P_{R2}(\gamma|k)$ denote the error probability of the transmission of $\mathbf{c}_{Ri}$ from R to T_2 conditioned on the length of the codeword $\mathbf{c}_{Ri}$, denoted by k, then we have $P_{R2}(\gamma|k) = 1-(1-Q(\sqrt{2\gamma}))^k$. The average BLER of the transmission from R to T_2 is given by

$$P_{R2}(\gamma) = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{LC}(n,r)} \Pr\{n_i = k\} \cdot P_{R2}(\gamma|k). \tag{11}$$

Let $P_{\text{HPNC},12}$ denote the BLER of the transmission from T_1 to T_2 in the HPNC scheme. A block error, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{1i,2} \neq \mathbf{a}_{1i}$, only occurs if the received compressed message is erroneous, i.e., $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{Ri,2} \neq \mathbf{Huffman}(\mathbf{a}_{1i} \bigoplus \mathbf{a}_{2i})$. Thus, $P_{\text{HPNC},12}$ can be calculated as

$$P_{\text{HPNC},12}(\gamma)) = 1 - \left(1 - P_R(\gamma,\rho)\right)\left(1 - P_{R2}(\gamma)\right). \tag{12}$$

By applying the approximation $1-(1-x)^N \approx Nx$ when x is small. At high SNR regime, Eq. (12) can be approximated as

$$P_{\text{HPNC},12}(\gamma) \approx nP_{\text{PNC}}(\gamma,\rho) + \bar{n}_i Q(\sqrt{2\gamma}). \tag{13}$$

At high SNR regime, the threshold $\bar{\tau}$ approaches to $\sqrt{2\gamma}$, and $P_{\text{HPNC}}(\gamma,\rho) \approx (2-\rho)Q(\sqrt{2\gamma})$. The BLER can be calculated approximately as

$$P_{\text{HPNC},12}(\gamma) \approx (2n - \rho n + \bar{n}_i)Q(\sqrt{2\gamma}). \tag{14}$$

Similarly, the exact and asymptotic BLER expressions of the conventional non-compressed relaying scheme are derived as

$$P_{\text{Conv},12}(\gamma) = 1 - \left(1 - P_R(\gamma,0.5)\right)\left(1 - P_{R2}(\gamma|n)\right), \tag{15}$$



Fig. 2. BLER comparison between the proposed HPNC scheme and the conventional non-compressed relaying scheme with $n = 6$.

and

$$P_{\text{Conv},12}(\gamma) \approx \frac{5n}{2} Q(\sqrt{2\gamma}), \tag{16}$$

respectively. From Eqs. (14) and (16), the gain of the proposed scheme over the conventional scheme in terms of the ratio of BLER at high SNR regime yields

$$G_{\text{BLER}} = \lim_{\gamma \to \infty} \frac{P_{\text{Conv},12}(\gamma)}{P_{\text{HPNC},12}(\gamma)} = \frac{5}{4C_{\text{HPNC}} + 2 - 2\rho}. \tag{17}$$

Eq. (17) indicates that the proposed HPNC scheme can achieve considerable BLER performance improvement with respect to the conventional scheme when the compression rate C_{HPNC} is small.

IV. Simulation Results

In this section, analytical and simulated results for the HPNC scheme in TWRN-CS are presented. Simulation results are conducted for a BPSK modulation and a block size of $n = 6$ over AWGN channels. Five cases with different correlation factors between T_1 and T_2 are considered: 1) $r = 0.9$; 2) $r = 0.8$; 3) $r = 0.7$; 4) $r = 0.6$; and 5) $r = 0.4$.

In Fig. 2, the analytical and simulated BLER performance of the HPNC scheme and the conventional non-compressed relaying scheme are presented. It shows that the analytical theoretical


Compression rate (percent, %)
Correlation factor, r
Conventional scheme
Theoretical compression bound
HPNC scheme, C_HPNC, n = 2
HPNC scheme, C_HPNC, n = 3
HPNC scheme, C_HPNC, n = 4
HPNC scheme, C_HPNC, n = 6
HPNC scheme, C_HPNC, n = 8

Fig. 3. Compression rates comparison between the proposed HPNC scheme and the conventional non-compressed relaying scheme.

curves obtained from Eqs. (12) and (15) match perfectly with the simulated results, and the asymptotic results computed in Eqs. (13) and (16) converge to the simulated results at high SNRs. This validates the accuracy of the BLER analysis. From the comparisons in Fig. 2, we can observe that the proposed scheme is superior to the conventional scheme.

Fig. 3 compares the analytical compression rate of the HPNC scheme C_{HPNC} in terms of the different block size n. The corresponding theoretical maximum compression rate C_{Theo} is also given in this figure. It shows that the compression rate of the proposed scheme approaches the theoretical maximum compression rate quickly when the block size of the information bits, i.e., n, increases, which validates our analysis of compression rate given in Sec. III-A.

In Fig. 4, we compare the simulated network throughput for the proposed scheme and the conventional non-compressed relaying scheme. Define the throughput as the number of message blocks which are decoded correctly at source node T_1 and T_2 per TS. It can be observed that the proposed HPNC scheme achieves considerable throughput improvement with respective to the conventional scheme. It is also shown that the throughput increases significantly as the correlation factor increases in the HPNC scheme. These gains come from the compressed process at the relay in TWRN-CS.


Throughput (packets per time slot)
SNR (dB)
Conventional scheme
HPNC scheme, r = 0.4
HPNC scheme, r = 0.6
HPNC scheme, r = 0.7
HPNC scheme, r = 0.8
HPNC scheme, r = 0.9

Fig. 4. Throughput comparison between the proposed HPNC scheme and the conventional non-compressed relaying scheme with $n = 6$.

V. Conclusion

In this letter, we has proposed a compressed relaying framework with jointly designing Huffman coding and PNC for TWRN-CS. Compression rate has been analyzed and analytical BLER expression has been derived for the HPNC scheme. It has been shown that the HPNC scheme achieves significant improvements compared with the conventional non-compressed relaying scheme. The gain is contributed from the compressed process at the relay. The idea developed here for AWGN channels can be easily extended to fading channels; however, the theoretical analysis of the performance metrics in fading channels is non-trivial. This subject will be reported on by the authors in a forthcoming paper.

References

[1] Q. Huo, L. Song, Y. Li, and B. Jiao, "A distributed differential space-time coding scheme with analog network coding in two-way relay networks," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 4998–5004, Sep. 2012.

[2] Y. Wu, P. Chou, and S. Kung, "Information exchange in wireless networks with network coding and physical-layer broadcast," Microsoft Res., Redmond, WA, USA, Tech. Rep. MSR-TR-2004, 2004.

[3] P. Popovski and H. Yomo, "Wireless network coding by amplify-and-forward for bi-directional traffic flows," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 16–18, Jan. 2007.

[4] S. Liew, S. Zhang, and L. Lu, "Physical-layer network coding: Tutorial, survey, and beyond," *Physical Commun.*, vol. 6, pp. 4–42, Mar. 2013.

[5] A. Jindal and K. Psounis, "Modeling spatially correlated data in sensor networks," *ACM Trans. Sensor Netw.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 466–499, Nov. 2006.

[6] R. Timo, G. Lechner, L. Ong, and S. Johnson, "Multi-way relay networks: Orthogonal uplink, source-channel separation and code design," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 753–768, Feb. 2013.

[7] D. Huffman, "A method for the construction of minimum-redundancy codes," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 40, no. 9, pp. 1098–1101, Sep. 1952.

[8] T. Yang, I. Land, T. Huang, J. Yuan, and Z. Chen, "Distance spectrum and performance of channel-coded physical-layer network coding for binary-input gaussian two-way relay channels," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 1499–1510, Jun. 2012.

[9] T. Cover and J. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2006.